Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	(5)

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☒ Adequate	☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
If yes, were they appropriate?
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

Excellent teacher (Dave).

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☒ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?   ☐ Too Short   ☒ Adequate   ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
If yes, were they appropriate?
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ QASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☒ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____
Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest			→		Highest		Lowest			→		Highest
1.	1	2	3 -	4	(5)		7.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)		8.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)		9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)		10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)		11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)		12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest		→		Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest		→		Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest		→		Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest		→		Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	(5)

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered? ☐ Too Short ☐ Adequate ☒ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
If yes, were they appropriate? YES
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☒ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): BLAINE BALL

Series _____ GS _____ Grade _____ 12 _____ Job Title _____ IT SPECIALIST _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	(5)

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered? ☐ Too Short ☒ Adequate ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
If yes, were they appropriate?
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest				→	Highest	Lowest				→	Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	(3)	4	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☒ Adequate	☐ Too long

good class !

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
If yes, were they appropriate?
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☒ OTSO
☐ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest				→ Highest	Lowest				→ Highest
1.	1	2	3	4 **5**	7.	1	2	3	4 **5**
2.	1	2	3	4 **5**	8.	1	2	3	4 **5**
3.	1	2	3	4 **5**	9	1	2	3	4 **5**
4.	1	2	3	4 **5**	10.	1	2	3	4 **5**
5.	1	2	3	4 **5**	11.	1	2	3	4 **5**
6.	1	2	3	4 **5**	12.	1	2	3	4 **5**

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest			→	Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	**5**
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	**5**
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	**5**

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest			→	Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	**5**
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	**5**
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	**5**
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	5

D. Course Administration

	Lowest			→	Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	**5**
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	**5**
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	5

E. Applications

	Lowest			→	Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered? ☐ Too Short ☐ Adequate ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate?
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	(3)	4	5
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	(3)	4	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	(3)	4	5

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	(5)

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☑ Adequate	☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate?

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

- ONE OF THE BEST INSTRUCTORS I HAVE EVER HAD IN A PROGRAMMING COURSE

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☑ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	(5)

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered? ☐ Too Short ☑ Adequate ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
If yes, were they appropriate?
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☑ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	(4)	5
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	(4)	5

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	(4)	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☒ Adequate	☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? Yes

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☒ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest			→		Highest		Lowest			→		Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	5		7.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5		8.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	5		9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	5		10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	5		11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	5		12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	5
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	5
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	5
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	5
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	5

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	5
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	5

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered? ☐ Too Short ☒ Adequate ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
If yes, were they appropriate?
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☒ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _Marvin Wilson_

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	(4) 5
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	(4) 5
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4 5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4 5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4 5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4 5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	(4)	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	(3)	4	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered? ☐ Too Short ☒ Adequate ☐ Too long

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☒ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	(5)

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☑ Adequate	☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
If yes, were they appropriate?
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☑ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____
Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: TSO: XPEDITER #311770	Date: 04/11-13/11	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight   (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→		Highest	
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	(4)	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→		Highest	
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→		Highest	
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	(4)	5
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest	→		Highest	
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	(5)

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

Creating Scripts.

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☑ Adequate	☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A
   If yes, were they appropriate?
   List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☑ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____
Series _____ GS _____ Grade ___ 12 ___ Job Title ___ IT Specialist ___