

Course MG FOR JANG PENNINGERS	Date 11-16-06
Name (Optional) 50e Caroucu	Company URS

Course evaluations are important to SYS-ED. Your feedback will enable us to monitor and update our courseware. We would appreciate your taking a few minutes to fill out this form.

Course Content

- 1. Were the course objectives presented in a clear, comprehensive manner?
- 2. Did the course meet its stated objectives?
- 3. Did the course meet your expectations?
- 4. Was the workshop/hands-on portion of this course effective?
- 5. Would you recommend this course to others?

YES	NO
YES	NO
YES /	NO
YES/	NO
YEŚ	NO

Instructor

- 6. Ability to Communicate
- 7. Knowledge of Subject Matter
- 8. Effective Use of Support Materials
- 9. Responsiveness to Class
- 10. Overall Professionalism

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
4	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
4/	`3	2	1

May we use you as a reference?

Manual

- 11. Usefulness
- 12. Readability
- 13. Organization

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
$\sqrt{4}$	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
4/	3	2	1

General

14. Overall Quality of Instructions

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
(4 ^x)	3	2	1

Suggestions/Comments

This metrodor should be used For many other charges of compar such as Iwa courses, Jeplservles, of

Is there any other information about the software you would still like to know?

Name	Title	Company	
Address	City	State	Zip



Course MQ for Jang	Date Nov 16, 2006
Name (Optional) INGR BULLINGSON	Company U BS

Course evaluations are important to SYS-ED. Your feedback will enable us to monitor and update our courseware. We would appreciate your taking a few minutes to fill out this form.

Course Content

- 1. Were the course objectives presented in a clear, comprehensive manner?
- 2. Did the course meet its stated objectives?
- 3. Did the course meet your expectations?
- 4. Was the workshop/hands-on portion of this course effective?
- 5. Would you recommend this course to others?

YES	NO
(YES)	NO

Instructor

- 6. Ability to Communicate
- 7. Knowledge of Subject Matter
- 8. Effective Use of Support Materials
- 9. Responsiveness to Class
- 10. Overall Professionalism

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
4)	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
(4)	3	2	1

May we use you as a reference?

Manual

- 11. Usefulness
- 12. Readability
- 13. Organization

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
4	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
(4)	3	2	1

General

14. Overall Quality of Instructions

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
4	3	2	1

Suggestions/Comments

Is there any other information about the software you would still like to know?

Name	Title	Company	
Address	City	State Zip	ļ



Course Mo for Java	Date 11/10/06
Name (Optional)	Company UBS

Course evaluations are important to SYS-ED. Your feedback will enable us to monitor and update our courseware. We would appreciate your taking a few minutes to fill out this form.

Course Content

- 1. Were the course objectives presented in a clear, comprehensive manner?
- 2. Did the course meet its stated objectives?
- 3. Did the course meet your expectations?
- 4. Was the workshop/hands-on portion of this course effective?
- 5. Would you recommend this course to others?

(YES)	NO
(ES)	NO
(YES)	NO
YES	NO
(YES)	NO

Instructor

- 6. Ability to Communicate
- 7. Knowledge of Subject Matter
- 8. Effective Use of Support Materials
- 9. Responsiveness to Class
- 10. Overall Professionalism

EXCELLENT	COOD	FAIR	POOR
Ŧ	3	2	1
4)	3	2	1
4)	3	2	1
4)	3	2	1
<u>(4)</u>	3	2	1

May we use you as a reference?

YES NO

Manual

- 11. Usefulness
- 12. Readability
- 13. Organization

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
4)	3	2	1
4	(3)	2	1
4	3	2	1

General

14. Overall Quality of Instructions

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
4	3	2	1

Suggestions/Comments

Is there any other information about the software you would still like to know?

Name	Title	Company
Address	City	State Zip



			·	
Course Wahahar Ma La Talla	a ald	Date	Tazlal	
Course Websphere 19 de tor java o	erverise.	Date 11	16100	<u> </u>
Nome (Ontional)		Compony	1200	
Name (Optional)		Company	(1B)	Flaneras

Course evaluations are important to SYS-ED. Your feedback will enable us to monitor and update our courseware. We would appreciate your taking a few minutes to fill out this form.

Course Content

- 1. Were the course objectives presented in a clear, comprehensive manner?
- 2. Did the course meet its stated objectives?
- 3. Did the course meet your expectations?
- 4. Was the workshop/hands-on portion of this course effective?
- 5. Would you recommend this course to others?

YES?	NO
(YES)	NO
(YES	NO
(YES)	NO
YES	NO

Instructor

- 6. Ability to Communicate
- 7. Knowledge of Subject Matter
- 8. Effective Use of Support Materials
- 9. Responsiveness to Class
- 10. Overall Professionalism

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
(4)	3	2	1
(4)	3	2	1
(4)	3	2	1
(4)	3.	2	1
4	(3/	2	1

May we use you as a reference?

Manual

- 11. Usefulness
- 12. Readability
- 13. Organization

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
4	(3)	2	1
4	(3)	2	1
4	(3)	2	1

General

14. Overall Quality of Instructions

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
4	3	2	1

Suggestions/Comments

Is there any other information about the software you would still like to know?

Name	Title	Company	
Address	City	State	Zip



Course	Websphere	203 for	Java	Date /	7-16-2006
Name (Optional) Dea Tasakov				Company	y ₩ 6 3
	, i				

Course evaluations are important to SYS-ED. Your feedback will enable us to monitor and update our courseware. We would appreciate your taking a few minutes to fill out this form.

Course Content

- 1. Were the course objectives presented in a clear, comprehensive manner?
- 2. Did the course meet its stated objectives?
- 3. Did the course meet your expectations?
- 4. Was the workshop/hands-on portion of this course effective?
- 5. Would you recommend this course to others?

(YES)	NO
ŒS	NO
YES	NO
YES_	NO
(YES)	NO

Instructor

- 6. Ability to Communicate
- 7. Knowledge of Subject Matter
- 8. Effective Use of Support Materials
- 9. Responsiveness to Class
- 10. Overall Professionalism

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
4)	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
(<u>4</u>)	3	2	1
4	3	2	1

May we use you as a reference?

YES NO

Manual

- 11. Usefulness
- 12. Readability
- 13. Organization

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
(4)	3	2	1
(4)	3	2	1
4	3	2	1

General

14. Overall Quality of Instructions

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
(4)	3	2	1

Suggestions/Comments

Is there any other information about the software you would still like to know?

Name	Title	Company
Address	City	State Zip



Course MQ Series	Date 1116 06
Name (Optional)	Company 56 5

Course evaluations are important to SYS-ED. Your feedback will enable us to monitor and update our courseware. We would appreciate your taking a few minutes to fill out this form.

Course Content

- 1. Were the course objectives presented in a clear, comprehensive manner?
- 2. Did the course meet its stated objectives?
- 3. Did the course meet your expectations?
- 4. Was the workshop/hands-on portion of this course effective?
- 5. Would you recommend this course to others?

YES	NO
(YES	NO
YES	(NO)
YES	NO
YES	NO

Instructor

- 6. Ability to Communicate
- 7. Knowledge of Subject Matter
- 8. Effective Use of Support Materials
- 9. Responsiveness to Class
- 10. Overall Professionalism

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
4)	3	2	1
(4)	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
4	3	2	1
4	3	2	1

May we use you as a reference?

Manual

- 11. Usefulness
- 12. Readability
- 13. Organization

EXCELLENT GOOD		FAIR	POOR
4	<u>(3)</u>	2	1
4	3)	2	1
4	(3)	2	1

General

14. Overall Quality of Instructions

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	
4)	3	2	Ī	

Suggestions/Comments

Is there any other information about the software you would still like to know?

Name	Title	Company	
Address	City	State Zip	



Course Websphere Ma Programming-Tong	Date	11/16/06
Name (Optional) Satza Kappayan	Company	7,8 5

Course evaluations are important to SYS-ED. Your feedback will enable us to monitor and update our courseware. We would appreciate your taking a few minutes to fill out this form.

Course Content

- Were the course objectives presented in a clear, comprehensive manner?
- 2. Did the course meet its stated objectives?
- Did the course meet your expectations? 3.
- Was the workshop/hands-on portion of this course effective? 4.
- Would you recommend this course to others? 5.

(YES)	NO
(YES)	NO
(YES)	NO
YES	NO
YES	NO

Instructor

- Ability to Communicate
- Knowledge of Subject Matter
- 8. Effective Use of Support Materials
- 9. Responsiveness to Class
- 10. Overall Professionalism

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
(4)	3	2	1
(4)	3	2	1
4	$\sqrt{3}$	2	1
(4)	3	2	1
(4)	3	2	1

May we use you as a reference? YES) NO

Manual

- 11. Usefulness
- 12. Readability
- 13. Organization

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
(4)	3	2	1
(4)	3	2	1
4	(3)	2	1

General

14. Overall Quality of Instructions

EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
(4)	3	2	1

Suggestions/Comments	
----------------------	--

I like the courte and had a Sood understand

Is there any other information about the software you would still like to know?

UML Web Services

Name	Title	Company	
Address	City	State	Zip