Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development Course Title and Number: Date: Primary Instructor:														
						Date:				y Instru				
FILE-	AID FO	<u>R ANAL</u>	YSTS	#031450)	FEBRU	ARY 28, 2	011	DAVE	SILVER	BERG			
		b]ective:				(1 LOW								
Circle E	Degree to	which spec	dfic cours	e objective	s were me	et or Highligi	ht (use tl	е лить	ered object	tives on th	ne course p	profile)		
Lowes	st	\rightarrow]	Highest		Lowest		<u>→</u>		Н	lighest		
1.	1 -	2	3	4	(5)		7.	1	2	3	4	<u>(3</u>		
2.	1	2	3	4	6		8.	1	2	3	4	(3)		
3.	1	2	3	4	⑤		9	1	2	3	4	6		
4.	1	2	3	4	19		10.	1	2	3	4	ð		
5.	1	2	3	4	(<u>5</u>)		11.	1	2	3	4	⑤		
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)		12.	1	2	3	4	(3)		
B. Co	urse C	ontent a	nd Des	lgn .			;	14	30.35		3.24.37	e de George		
								Lowe	_	\rightarrow			Highest	
		ectives w								2	3	4	<u>\$</u>	
		s of meth								2	3	4	<u>(3)</u>	
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 5 C. Quality of Instruction														
ψ, ' Ϣ U	C. Quality of Instruction Lowest → Highest													
		ess to que			r heln		1			3		3		
		and pres					. 1			3		<u>\$</u>		
		dequate e					1			3	4 (<u>5</u>)		
		dministi			. 1	**	· .		SOF WES		Aled W	234.	J 4, 25, 5	
									Low		->		Highest	
					fications	were clear	and pron	ıpt.	1	2	3	4	<u>(S)</u>	
		ere condu							1_1_	2	3	4	<u>@</u>	
3. Ap	propriate	compute	r resourc	ces were	available	. (check N/	'A if applic	able)	1	2 '	3	4	(3)	
F 4-								,					7112	
	plicatio		F		dution			l.C	west	→ 2	3	4	Highest	
		ication of				a. 11		0.77. 1	1 66				(5)`	
2. Wh:	at new in	isights ha	ve you a	cquired a	s a result	of taking	this course	? (Use t	pack of f	orm; if n	ecessary))		
File	nath of	Course	(X In h	ox of ve	oir chol	cel			. 70		27.3	, " ; ,		
						covered?			Too Shor	t Z	Adequa	te	Too long	
			FF1								•			
G. D	ld vou	complet	e neces	ssary pr	erequis	ites liste	d on prof	ile? 🗔	Yes	☐ No		1905 S		
	If yes, v	vere they	appropri	iate?	- :	' '	. 17	<u> </u>		<u>. </u>		- 7.		
	List any	addition	al prereq	uisite(s)	you thinl	care neces	sагу.	-	· . · · · ·	ti sab	• •	47 (1	•	
						ve the co	urse, etc	.) use l	back of f	orm; if r	iecessary	,		
Expla	in low s	cores (1 o	o r 2) for	sections	A-D									
,														
1 DO	<u></u>	_			OEI	EAS			1 [OSES				
	ASSIS		_		OES				- }-	OTSO				
\rightarrow	DS DS				OR			_	- -	OTHE	R			
	E (optio	nal):	and	AL (anni									
Series			Grand Control	F 10		de 65-	11	Job	Title 🍣	ocial	Corn	rance	. Special A	
				1									- 7	

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development														
Course Title and Number:	Date:			Primar	y Instruc	ctor:								
FILE-AID FOR ANALYSTS #031450	FEBRUA	ARY 28, 20	011		SILVER									
A. Course Objectives:	(1 LOW	<u>→ 5 HIG</u>												
Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were me	et or Highligh		e number		ives on th	e course pre	ofile)							
Lowest → Highest		Lowest		_ ->		Hiş	ghest							
1. 1 2 3 4 5		7.	1	2	3	4	5							
2. 1 2 3 4 5		8.	1	2	3	4	5							
3. 1 2 3 4 <u>5</u>		9	1	2	3	4	5	-						
4. 1 2 3 4 (5)		10.	1	2	3	4	5							
5. 1 2 3 4 5		11.	1	2	3	4	5							
6. 1 2 3 4 5		12.	1	2	3	4	5	_						
B. Course Content and Design		<u> </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		:						
,			Lowes		\rightarrow			lighest						
I. Learning objectives were organized and clear.			1		2 .	3 4		5-)						
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings,	demo		1	:	2	3 4	. '	(5)						
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 (5) C. Quality of Instruction														
Lowest → Highest														
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 1 2 3 4 5 2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help. 1 2 3 4 5														
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help. 1 2 3 4														
3. Organization and presentation.														
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples. 1 2 3 4 (5)														
D. Course Administration														
				LOW	est -	<u> フ</u>		Highest						
Course announcements, employee notifications	were clear	and promp	ot	1	2	3	4	<u>(5</u> /						
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.		. 10 1/		1	2	3	4	<u> 5</u>						
Appropriate computer resources were available.	(cneck N/A	A if applica	ible)	1	2	3_	4	(5)						
E. Applications			, Lov	vest	· →			Highest						
1. Overall application of course to current duties.				1	2	3	4	(5)						
2. What new insights have you acquired as a result	of taking t	nis course?	(Use ba	ick of fo	orm; if ne	ecessary)		-						
F. Length of Course (X in box of your chol-	ce)		1 2		p .	70.3	•	 – -						
Was the course length appropriate for the material	covered?			oo Short	e di	Adequate	·	Too lone						
Was the course length appropriate for the material covered? G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? Yes No NA If yes, were they appropriate? List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary. H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary														
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D														
DCS DEED				╌┤╞╡	OSES	_								
OASSIS GOES CONTROL OF			•	- - 	OTSO OTHER	. .								
NAME (optional):	12				OTHER	` .								
Series Grad	de		Job 3	Citle				_						
Gran			_ 500 /											

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development														
Course Title and Number:	Date:			rimary l										
FILE-AID FOR ANALYSTS – #031450	FEBRUA	RY 28, 20	11 1	DAVE SI	LVERBI	ERG								
	(1 LOW													
<u>Circle</u> Degree to which specific course objectives were me	et or Highligh		numbere		es on the c	ourse profile)								
Lowest → Highest		Lowest		_ -		Highes	t							
1. 1 2 3 4 <u>(5)</u>		7.	1	2	3	4 5								
2. 1 2 3 4 (5)		8.	1	2	3	4 5								
3. 1 2 3 4 🔇		9	1	2	3	4 5								
4. 1 2 3 4 5		10.	1	2	3、	4 5								
5. 1 2 3 4 5		11.	1	2	3	4 5								
6. 1 2 3 4 5		12.	1	2	3	4 5								
B. Course Content and Design						S. Why.	14 14							
· <u></u>	Lowest → Highest 1. Learning objectives were organized and clear. 1 2 3 4 (5)													
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo 1 2 3 4 5														
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 5														
C. Quality of Instruction														
C. Quality of Instruction with the last of the last o														
Lowest \Rightarrow Highest 1 Instructor's knowledge of subject 1 2 3 4 (5)														
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help. 1 2 3 4 5														
3. Organization and presentation.		1	2	3	4	(5)								
3. Organization and presentation. 1 2 3 4 (5) 4. Presented adequate exercises/examples. 1 2 3 4 (5)														
	<u></u>		· · ·	Jan Ser	anda h	ne aller								
				Lower	sı →		Highest							
1. Course announcements, employee notifications	were clear	and promp	ot.	1	2		5							
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	/ 1 l- 31(. :c		1	2	_	(5)							
3. Appropriate computer resources were available.	. (cneck N//	A ir applica	ibie)	1	2	3 (
E. Applications			Low	vest ·	→		Highest							
Overall application of course to current duties.			į post	1	2	3 4								
2. What new insights have you acquired as a result	of taking th	hic course?	(Tice ha	ck of for	m: if nece	ecam)								
2. What new hisights have you acquired as a result	or taking u	ns course:	(Cac ba	ok of for	m, n noo	,,,,								
F. Length of Course (X in box of your chol	ce)					7								
Was the course length appropriate for the material	covered?		<u> </u>	oo Short		dequate	☐ Too long							
					- 	,								
G. Did you complete necessary prerequis	ites listed	on profil	le? 🔲 🕽	es 5	7440 [] Ņ/A∷								
If yes, were they appropriate?		<u> </u>	12 1		<u> </u>									
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think	are necess	ary.	· · · · · ·											
H. Other Comments (suggestions to impro Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D	ve the co	urse, etc.	y use da	ICK OI IOF	т; и пес	essary								
Explain low scores (1 of 2) for sections A-D														
DCS OEF					OSES									
OASSIS OES					TSO									
☐ ODS	SIS				OTHER									
NAME (optional):			_ ,	C'Ala										
Series Gra	ae		Job 3	. 1616										
	_													

٠,

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development														
Course Title and Number:	Date:			Primary	Instruc	tor:								
FILE-AID FOR ANALYSTS #031450	FEBRUA	ARY 28, 20	011	DAVE S	ILVER	BERG								
		→ 5 HIG		- 4 - 1-1 - 10			<u>-</u>							
Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were me	et or Highligh		e numbe		ves on the	e course prof	-							
Lowest -> Highest		Lowest		<u> →</u>		Higl	iest							
1. 1 2 3 4 (5)		7.	1		3	4	5							
2. 1 2 3 4 75		8.	1	2		4	5							
3. 1 2 3 4 5		9	1	2	3	4	5							
4. 1 2 3 4 (5)		10.	1	2	3	4	5							
<u>5. 1</u> 2 3 4 (5)		11.	1	2	3	4	5							
6. 1 2 3 4 5		12.	1	2	3	4	5							
B. Course Content and Design		•		<u>. Jan Burt</u>	12 (-25)		A 10 1							
<u></u>			Lowe	st	<u></u> →		Highest							
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear. 2. Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo 1. 2. 3. 4. (5) 2. On the second														
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 / 3 4 5														
C. Quality of Instruction														
Lowest → Highest														
Lowest \rightarrow Highest 1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 1 2 3 4 \sim 5														
3. Organization and presentation.		. 1)							
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.		1												
D. Course Administration	· ·		. 19		90 MA		Jan 18, 18							
·	•			Lowe			Highest							
1. Course announcements, employee notifications	were clear	and promp	t.	1	2	3	4 (5)							
Facilities were conducive to learning.				1	2	3	4 75)							
3. Appropriate computer resources were available.	(check N/A	A if applica	ıble)	1	2	3	4 (5)							
E. Applications			Lo	west	· · · →		Highest							
1. Overall application of course to current duties.				1	2	(3)	4 5							
2. What new insights have you acquired as a result	of taking t	nis course?	(Use b	ack of fo	rm; if ne	cessary)	_							
						_								
F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice	ce) ·		Nyfer .		. "									
Was the course length appropriate for the material of	covered?		T	oo Short	<u>u</u>	Adequate	☐ Too long							
G. Did you complete necessary prerequisi	tes listed	on profil	e? 🗾	Yes [□No	∏ N/A ∷								
If yes, were they appropriate?			, S.F	- ',	£ 89	<u> </u>	_							
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think				·	16.30									
H. Other Comments (suggestions to improv Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D	e the co	ırse, etc.)	use b	ack of fo	rm; if ne	cessary								
Explain 1017 Scottes (1 of 2) for Sections 11 2														
DCS DEE.	AS			_ TH	OSES									
OASSIS OES					OTSO		_							
					OTHER									
NAME (optional):														
Series Grad	le		Job '	Title										
		T												

٠,

.

Cour	Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development Course Title and Number: Date: Primary Instructor:													
Course	e Title a	nd Numl	er:		-	Date:			Primary	Instru	ctor:			
FILE-	AID FO	R ANAL	<u> YSTS —</u>	#031450		FEBRUA	ARY 28, 2	2011	DAVE S	ILVER	BERG	_ <u>.</u>		
		bjective				(1 LOW				LL		-GI-V		
			CITIC COURSE			net or Highligh		пе питое		ives on tr	ne course pr			
Lowes		<u>→</u>			lighest		Lowest		<u> </u>			ghest		
1.	1 '	2	3	4	/5'\		7.	1	2	3	4	5		
2.	1	2	3	4	5		8.	1	2	3	4	5		
3.	1	2	3	4	5		9	1	2	3	4	5		
4.	1	2	3	4	5		10.	1	2	3	4	5		
5.	1	2	3	4	5/		11.	1	2	3	4	5		
6.	1	2	3	4	5	_	12.	1	2	3	4	5		
B. Co	urse C	ontent a	nd Desi	ign	-	F +			- 1 + Y.A.	1983	$\chi_{i} = \chi_{i} \chi_{i}$.	(k.)245.	11.5	
				.				Lower		\rightarrow	<u> </u>		lighest	
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear. 2. Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo 3. 4 5 5 6 7 7 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 7 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1														
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 5														
C. Quality of Instruction														
Lowest → Highest														
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 1 2 3 4 5														
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help. 1 2 3 4 5														
3. Organization and presentation. 1 2 3 4 5														
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples. 1 2 3 4 5/														
D. Course Administration														
									Low		?		Highest	
					fications	s were clear	and pron	ipt.	1	2	3	4	$\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{5}}$	
		ere condu			:I-L1.	- /-haala NI/	A if appli	anhla)	1	2	3	4	5 / 5	
3. Ap	propriate	e compute	er resourc	es were a	(Valiable	e. (check N/	м и арри	caule)	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	4		
F Ar	plicati							l o	west	· · · · ·			Highest	
		lication o	f course t	o current	duties.			5.0	1	2	3	4	(5)	
1						lt of taking t	hie course	2 (Tise h	ack of fo	om: ifn	eressaru)			
2. WII	at new n	потВига па	ive you a	cquired as	s a resu	it of taking t	ms course	// (O30 D	don of h	, III, II II	cocaamy)			
F. Le	ngth o	f Course	(X In b	ox of yo	ur cho	ice)	-	17 th.			/			
Was ti	he cours	e length a	ppropriat	e for the	materia	l covered?		<u></u>	oo Shor	:	Adequate	: [Too long	
				-				· 		_ ; .		• • •		
G. D					erequi	sites listed	i on proi	īle? 🔣	Yes	∐ No∵	∐ N/A	4		
		were they				•	<u> </u>	***	<u> </u>	-	•			
	List any	y addition	al prereq	uisite(s)	you thin	k are necess	ary.	<u> </u>	16-6-		· · .		<u> </u>	
		mments cores (1				ove the co	urse, eu	., use n	ack of it	лш; и к	iecessary			
Expia	IID IOW S	cores (x	UF 2) 10F	Sections .	A-D									
										,				
D(CS			-	OE	EAS				QSES				
	ASSIS				OE	SAE				OTSO				
	DS					SIS				OTHE	R			
	E (optic	onal): _					,		m)41	e n		ميلور		
Serie	S	_			Gr	ade	>	Job	Title _	2042	mac te	S+~		
<u></u>	_			_										

-

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development Course Title and Number: Primary Instructor:														
						Date:				ry Instru				
FILE-	AID FO	R ANAL	YSTS	#031450)	FEBRU	ARY 28, 2	011	DAVE	SILVE	RBERG			
		bjective				(1 LOW								
			offic course			et or Highligi	nt (use ti	ne number		ctives on t	he course p	rofile)		
Lowes	t	\rightarrow	<u></u>	i	Highest		Lowest		<u> </u>		H	ighest		
1.	1 `	2	3	4	(5) (5)		7.	1	2	3	4	5		
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)		8.	1	2	3	4	5		
3.	1	2	3	4	(\$)		9	1	2	3	4	5		
4.	1	2	3	4	(3)		10.	1	2	3	4	5		
5.	1	2	3	4	⑤		11.	1	2	3	4	5		
6.	1	2	3	4	5		12.	1	2	3	4	5		
B. Co	urse Co	ontent a	nd Desi	gn			•	· V.		+ : = :		1.31		
	Lowest → Highest 1. Learning objectives were organized and clear. 1 2 3 4 ⑤													
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture readings demo. 1 2 3 4 (5)														
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo 1 2 3 4 5) 3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 (5)														
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 5 C. Quality of Instruction														
C. Quality of Instruction														
Lowest -> Highest														
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help. 1 2 3 4 5														
		and pres			погр.		1	2		3		<u>*</u>		
							1			_				
D. Course Administration Lowest → Highest														
1. Cou	irse anno	ouncemen	ts, emplo	yee noti	fications	were clear	and pron	ıpt.	1	2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>	
		ere condu							1	2	3	4	€	
3. Арг	propriate	compute	r resourc	es were a	available	, (check N/	A if applic	able)	1	2	3	4	(5)	
	plication				1			lJo	west	\rightarrow			Highest	
		ication of	_						1	2	3	4	(5)	
2. Wha	it new in	sights ha	ve you ac	equired a	s a result	of taking t	his course	? (Use b	ack of	form; if r	iecessary)			
E io	noth of	Course	(Y ln h	ov óf vo	ur chol	coj			7.3%	1.5	1, 1	:		
						covered?		Пт	oo Sho	irt Σ	Adequat	e l	Too long	
17 23 (1)	ic course	, longar a	ppropriac	0 101 010	1114441741		_		OU DIII		3 11404 441			
G. D	ld vou	complet	е песеs	sarv bř	ereguis	ites listed	on prof	lle? 🔲	Yes	ΠNo	∏ N/A	100	Task of the	
0. 5	If ves. v	vere they	appropri	ate?					7.75					
					you think	are necess	ery.		7 .		-	- :	1 2 2 3	
H. Otl	ner Con	nments	(sugges	stions t	o impro	ve the co	urse, etc	.) use b	ack of	form; if	aecessary			
Explai	in low so	cores (1 c	r 2) for .	sections	A-D									
									ΙΓ	T Open				
DO					[30]				╌┼┾	OSES OTSO				
	ASSIS					SAE SIS			- }	OTHE	'R		1	
	E (optio	nol)·				,			<u> _L</u>	" OTHE	117			
Series		nai)			Gra	<u>de</u> G−S1	2	Joh	Title ,	Rua One	el et			
		_					-			**************************************	7			
							_			_	_			

Cour	se Eva	aluatio	n Tech	nnical [*]	Trainiı	ng for P	rofess	ional	Develo	opmen	it			
Course	Title a	nd Numi	ber:			Date:			Prima	ary Insti	uctor:			
FILE-A	AID FO	R ANAL	YSTS	#031450)	FEBRU	ARY 28,	2011	DAV:	E SILVI	ERBER	G		
A Co	штеа О	bjective	e'			(1 LOW	-> 5H	(CH)						
Circle D	earee to	which spe	cific cours	e oblective	s were m	et or Highlig			bered obt	ectives on	the cour	se orofi	e)	
Lowes		→			Highest		Lowes		→			High		
1.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>		7.	1	2	3	4		5	
2.	1	2	3	4	5		8.	<u> </u>		3	4		5	
 3.	1	_ <u>-</u>	3	4	5		9	1	2	3	4		5	
3. 4.	1	2	3	4	5		10.	1		3	4		5	
 5.	1	2	3	4	5		11.	1		3	4		5	
6.	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	3	4	5		12.	1		3	4		5	
		ontent a					1 ^				<u> </u>		•	
<u>. </u>	uioc o	0,1101111	500					Lov		→			Hie	hest
1. Lear	ning ob	ectives w	vere orgai	nized and	l clear.				1	2	3	4	75	0
		s of meth							1	2	3	4	_ (3	
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 5 C. Quality of Instruction														
Lowest → Highest														
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 1 2 3 4 5														
					noip.						4			
3. Organization and presentation.														
4. I resented adocume exercises examples.														
Lowest → Highest														
					ficatio <u>ns</u>	were clear	rand pro	mpt.	1	2		<u> </u>	4	(5/2
		ere condi							1	2			<u>(4)</u>	5
3. App	propriate	e compute	er resourc	es were	available	. (check N	/A II app	iicabie)	1	2	•	3	4	<u>(3)</u>
FΔn	plicati	one							Lowest					lighest
		lication o	f course t	o current	duties.				1	2	3		4)	5
						t of taking	this cour	se? (Use	hack o	f form: it	necessa			
										dat		-,,		
1	WOT	Ø	-	Ja45	- 2000	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$	\	Poc.	. (<u></u> ,	~_			
			$\underline{\sim}$		<u> </u>		79							
		f Course					-		 		1	<u></u>	, '''	T 1
Was th	ie cours	e length a	рргорпа	te for the	materia	l covered?			Too Sh	ion	Ade	quate		Too long
G D	:					nitae lieto	d on pr	รถเลว โ	T Vac	ΠN	<u> </u>	KI/A		
G . p		complet were they			eregui	sites liste	u on pre	nner [Tres		\star	IVA.		: . : :
					vou thin	k are neces	sarv.		•		-	•	·	
H. Ot	her Co	mments	(sugge	stions t	o impro	ove the co	ourse, e	tc.) use	back of	f form; l	f necess	ary		
Expla	in low s	cores (1	or 2) for	sections	A-D	1:10	e to	$\sim \lambda_0$	w-l.	MARK	م	P V DII	·	505
-	_				1	- 11	د. دا می	100	K < 1.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7	Chen	U ()	دريعو
(WV)			$\rho \sim 0$	プレもろし	Mare	اناري	\(\text{\chi}\)	11/2 02.	``````````````````````````````````````	د_۲۵۲	4 L 1	~~~		U4SB W
		ve,	pnt	· a		<u>√√√</u>	W_	MD	rra		<u>_ 19-61</u>	V	<u>4 22</u>	9
DO						EAS				OSE			J	
	ASSIS					SAE SIS				OTE				
L OI	<u>DS</u> E (optic	nnal).	21	<	^ -				1.	<u> 711</u>				
Series	_		9 130	ン <u> </u>	<u>∕∧ ∧∕</u> Gh		<u> </u>	J	b Title	Suc	Ann	كاساذ	+	=-
201103	•	_										-1-	_	_

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development Course Title and Number: Date: Primary Instructor:														
						Date:			Prim	ary Ins	tructor:			
FILE-	AID FO	<u>R ANA</u> L	YSTS	#031450		FEBRU.	ARY 28,	2011	DAV	E SILV	ERBERG	<u> </u>	-	
A. Co	urse O	bjective	S:	4		(1 LOW								
			cific course			et or Highligi	ht (use t	he num			n the cours	se profile)		
Lowes	t	<u> </u> →		1	lighest		Lowest		<u></u> →			Highest		
1.	1 '	2	3	4	'/s \		7.	1	2	3	4	5		
2.	1	2	3	4	5		8.	1	2	3	4	5		
3.	1	2	3	4	75		9	1	2	3	4	5		
4.	1	2	3	4	5		10.	1	2	3	4	5		
5.	1	2	3	4	5		11.	1	2	3	4	5	1	
6.	I	2	3	4	5/		12.	1	2	3	4	5/		
	urse Co	ontent a	nd Desi	Gn	\ <u></u>				· . ·	_	: 3:			
				· 3···					vest	\rightarrow			Highest	
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear. 1 2 3 4														
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo 1 2 3 4 5														
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 (5)														
C. Qua	C. Quality of Instruction													
Lowest → Highest														
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 1 2 3 4 (5)														
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help. 1 2 3 4														
							I		2	3	4	(5)		
	3. Organization and presentation. I 2 3 4 (5) 4. Presented adequate exercises/examples. I 2 3 4 (5)													
D. Course Administration Analysis and Alexander Analysis and Alexand														
										owest	\rightarrow		Highest	
					ications	were clear	and pron	apt.	1	2			(§) (§)	
		ere condu							1	2		4_		
3. App	propriate	compute	r resourc	es were a	vailable	. (check N/	A if appli	cable)	_	2	3	4	(5)	
E A-	-1:4:-													
1 Ove	plicatio	insies et	· ·	o current	dution	<u> </u>			owest	2) 3	(4)	Highest 5	
												\ 1		
2. Wha	it new in	sights ha	ve you ac	equired as	a result	of taking t	his course	? (Use	back o	f form;	it necessai	ry) _		
E la	nath of	Course	/Y in h	ox of you	ur čhoi	re)	• •	•	,	77		٠ .		
						covered?	-	- IX Í	Too Sh	ort	Adeq	note	Too long	
1743 (1		r of V			# 1 ₃	CO FOICE.			100 00	_	21404	uuto	10010 <u>16</u>	
G D					ronuis	ites listed	on prof	1102	Vec.	ΠN	o'a 🔝 N	I/A···		
0. 0	If ves u	ere they	anntontis S	ate?	.requio	ntea notee	on pro	The state of	Ø 1.02	رة لتنا	0 E	V.A.		
					ou think	are necess	arv.	:	:		· . ·	- T		
						ve the co		:.) use	back of	form:	if necessa	rv		
				sections A			,			,		•		
1		`	,											
D∂C		-			OEI					OSE			-	
	ASSIS				OES			_		OTS				
OI					OR	SIS				∐ OT1	HER			
	E (optio	nal):				_								
Series					_ Gra	de		Jo	b Title				_ _	
							_			_				

Cours	se Eva	aluatio	n Tech	nical '	Trainir	ng for Pr	ofessi	onal D	evelo	pmen	it			
Course	Title 2	nd Numb	<u></u>			Date:			Prima	ary Insti	ructor:			
FILE-A	AID FO	R ANAL	YSTS -	#031450)	FEBRUA	XRY 28,	2011	DAV	E ŠILVI	ERBERG			
		bjectives				(1 LOW								
<u>Circle</u> D	egree to		dfic course			et or Highligh	it (use	the numbe		ectives on	the course			
Lowest	t	\rightarrow	_		Highest		Lowes		→			Highest		
1.	1 '	2	3	4	(5)		7.	1	2	3	4	5		
2.	1	2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>		8.	1	2	3	4	5		
3.	1	2	3	4	<u>(S</u>)		9	1	2	3	4	5		
4.	1	2	3	4	(5) (5)		10.	1	2	3	4	5		
5.	1	2	3	4	(š)		11.	1	2	3	4	5		
6.	1	2	3	4	5		12.	1	2	3	4	5		
B, Co	urse C	ontent a	nd Desi	ign				·:			100			
				-				Lowe	est	→			Highest	
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear. 2. Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo 1. 2 3 4 (5) 2. Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo														
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 (5														
C. Quality of Instruction														
C. Qua	C. Quality of Instruction Lowest → Highest													
Lowest → Highest 1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 1 2 3 4 5														
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help. 1 2 3 4 (5)														
					. 1141 <u>6</u> 1					3	4			
5. Organization and precentation.														
D. Course Administration														
Lowest → Highest														
I. Cou	rse ann	ouncemen	ts, empl	oyee not	ifications	were clear	and pro	npt.	1	2	3	4		
		ere condu							1	2	3	4		
3. App	ropriate	e compute	r resourc	es were	available	. (check N/	A if appl	icable)	1	2	3	4	(5)	
	11 41		_										Highest	
	plicati	ons lication of	· agurea t	0.00=00	t duties	<u>. </u>	•	190	owest 1	2	3	4	(5)	
							1.:	-0 /I I 1	-	_	-	_		
2. Wha	it new ii	nsignts na	ve you a	cdmiea s	is a result	t of taking t	nis cours	er (Ose)	Dack o	1 101111, 1.	i necessar	7)		
F. Le	nath o	f Course	(X In b	ox of ve	our cho	lce)				7		••		
						covered?			Too Sb	ort	🔼 Adequ	ate	☐ Too long	
					rereguls	ites liste	on pro	file? 🗔	Yes	_ □ N	ı 🗌 N	/A		
	If yes,	were they	appropri	áte?	·- · · ·	· · · · ·	1		<u> </u>	<u>. 1 1921 </u>		· - '		
	List any	y addition	al prereq	uisite(s)	you thinl	k are neces:	sary.	· .	· ·	<u> </u>	·".	<u>· </u>		
						ve the co	urse, ei	C.) use	back of	f form; i	f necessai	У	'	
Explai	in low s	cores (1 d	or 2) 10r	sections	A-D									
	28			-	OE	EAS		_		OSE	s		_	
	ASSIS			-		SAE				OTS				
OI					OR						IER ·			
	E (option	onal):												
Series		_			Gra	ide		Job	Title					
												_		

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development Course Title and Number: Date: Primary Instructor:													
Course Tit		ber:			Date:	ARY 28,		Prim	ary Instri E SILVE	uctor:			
				<u>-</u>	12220					KDEKG	_	-	
A. Course	e Objective	s:			(1 LOW								
<u>Circle</u> Degre	e to which spe	cific course	e objectiv	es were m	et or Highlig	ht (use t	he numi	bered obj	ectives on	the course	profile)		
Lowest	\rightarrow			Highest		Lowest		\rightarrow			Highest		
I. 1	<u> </u>	3	4	(5)		7.	1	2	3	4	5		
2. 1	2	3	4	(5)		8.	1	2	3	4	5		
3. 1	2	3	4	(5)		9	1		3	4			
4. 1	2	3	4	(5)		10.	1	2	3	4	5		
5. 1	2 .	3	4	(5)		11.	1	2	3	4	5		
6. 1	2	3	4	3		12.	1	2	3	4	5		
B. Course	Content a	ınd Desi	ign	-				75. 3	, t 165	·			
Lowest → Highest													
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo 1 2 3 4 (5)													
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 (5)													
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 5 C. Quality of Instruction													
C. Quality of Instruction Lowest → Highest													
	tion and pres			тиотр.		1		2	3		\$		
	d adequate e			 5.		1		2	3		(5)		
	e Administ			-			٠. ,					1 11 11 2	
									owest	\rightarrow		Highest	
 Course a 	unouncemer	ıts, emplo	уее поц	fications	were clear	and pron	ıpt.	1	2	3	4	<u></u>	
2. Facilitie	s were condu	cive to le	arning.					1	2	3	4	(5)	
3. Appropr	iate compute	r resourc	es were a	available.	. (check N/	A if appli	cable)	1	2	3	4	,(5)	
E. Applic	ations							owest		-		Highest	
	pplication of	course to	o current	duties.			<u> </u>	1	2	3	4	(5)	
					of taking t	his course	? (Use	back of	form: if i	lecessatv	<u> </u>		
15. L	,, , , ,	-1	,				. (000	04011 01	.^	iccossui y	,		
Cyce	rinsights ha Meil Un	Smut	1UN`,	Diano	sted m	are d	eta	is or	r fila	and o	pheni	<u>.</u>	
F. Length	of Course	(X In bo	ox of yo	ur chol	ce)			-	• • •	<u>í</u>	-		
Was the co	urse length a	ppropriat	e for the	material	covered?			Too Sh	ort 5	Adequa	ite [Too long	
If ye	ou complet s, were they any addition	арргоргія	ate?		•	_ · ·	ile? \\	Yes	□No	□ N/.	A 701		
	Comments						.) use	back of	form; if	necessar	,	$\overline{}$	
	w scores (1 c					-	-		•				
DCS				DOEL	EAS			_	OSES				
OASSI	S			OES					OTSO				
ODS				ORS					OTHE				
NAME (or	tional):												
Series	_			Gra	de		Job	Title .					
												_	

٠,

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development														
Course Title and Number:	Date:			Primary	Instruc	tor:								
FILE-AID FOR ANALYSTS #031450	FEBRUA	RY 28, 20		DAVE S				-						
		→ 5 HIG												
Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met	or Highlighi	t (use the	numbei		ves on the	e course pro	file)							
Lowest → Highest		Lowest		\rightarrow		Hig	hest							
1. 1 2 3 4 (5)	·	7.	I	2	3	4	5							
2. 1 2 3 4 5		8.	1	2	3	4	5							
3. 1 2 3 4 5 4. 1 2 3 4 5 5. 1 2 3 4 5		9	1	2	3	4	5							
4. 1 2 3 4 (5)		10.	1	2	3	4	5							
5. 1 2 3 4 5		11.	1	2	3	4	5							
6. 1 2 3 4 5		12.	I	2	3	4	5							
B. Course Content and Design					2 % 3	1	·							
,			Lowes		\rightarrow		Hig	liest						
Learning objectives were organized and clear.			1			3 4	Ċ	4						
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, d			1			3 (39		5						
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4 (5) C. Quality of Instruction														
Lowest → Highest														
Lowest → Highest 1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 1 2 3 4 5														
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 1 2 3 4 (5)														
Presented adequate exercises/examples.		1	2				'							
D. Course Administration														
				Low	est =)	1-	lighest						
1. Course announcements, employee notifications w	ere clear a	and promp	t.	1	2	3	4	(5)						
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.				1	2	3	4	(5)						
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A	A if applica	ble)	1	2	3	4	(5)						
E. Applications			1.03	vest	→			lighest						
Overall application of course to current duties.				1	2	(3)	4	5						
2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of	f taking th	is course?	(Use h:	ack of fo	m: if ne	\sim								
		ua comac:	(030 01	ick of to	ım, n nc	ocssery)								
en learning the File-And w	היוע													
F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice						, ,	· .							
Was the course length appropriate for the material co	overed?		T	oo Short	Z	Adequate		Too long						
G. Did you complete necessary prerequisite If yes, were they appropriate?	es listed	on profil	e? 🔲 `	Yes	□ No″	□ N/A								
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think a														
H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve	e the cou	ırse, etc.)	use ba	ack of fo	rm; if qe	cessary								
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D														
□ DCS □ OEEA					OSES									
OASSIS OESA					OTSO									
ODS ORSIS	<u>s</u>				<u>OTHER</u>	<u> </u>								
NAME (optional):			Tal. f	Tidle				-						
Series Grade	:		_ Job '	1 IIIe				_						
		T												

-

٠.

Со	Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development Course Title and Number: Date: Primary Instructor:													
		-					Date:			Prim	ary Instri	ictor:		_
FIL	E-AID	FOR A	NAL	<u>YSTS –</u>	#031450)	FEBRUA	ARY 28,	2011	DAV	E SILVE	RBERG		
		e Obje			- 1-1 11		(1 LOW							
		ee to whic		itic course			et or Highligh				ectives on			
_	west		_			Highest		Lowes		<u>→</u>			Highest	
1.	1		2	3	4	(5)		7.	1	2	3	4	5	
2.	1		2	3	丸			8.	1	2	3	4	5	
3.	1		2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>		9	1	2	3	4	5	
4.	1		2	3	4	(3)		10.	1	2	3	4_	5	
5.	1		2	3	Ø	5		11.	1	2	3	4	5	
6.	1		2	3	4	5		12.	1	2	3	4	5	
B.	Cours	e Cont	ent aı	nd Desi	ign				,		, , · ,.	<u> </u>		
									Low		\rightarrow	_		lighest
					nized and		domo			1	2	3		5
						readings,		mina		1	2	3	4	(5\
C. Quality of Instruction														
<u> </u>	Lowest → Highest													
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 1 2 3 4 (5)														
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help. 1 2 3 4 5 2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.														
3. C)rganiz	ation and	d prese	entation.				1		2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>	
4. I	Present	ed adequ	iate ex	ercises/	examples	5.		1		2	3	4	(5)	
D.	D. Course Administration													
	Lowest → Highest													
						fications	were clear	and pro	npt.	1	2	3	4	<u> </u>
		es were				-u-ilahla	. (check N/	A ifammli	onbla)	1 I	2	3	4	<u> </u>
3. 4	Approp	riate cor	nputer	гезошс	es were	avanaote	. (CHECK 197.	w ii ahbi	caulej	1			4	\$
F.	Annlie	cations							. 1	owest)			Highest
				course t	о сштепі	duties.				1	2	3	(4)	5
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					of taking t	his cours	e? (Use	back o	f form: if	necessara		
2. 1	r nat n	νπ margi	113 1141	o you u	oquin cu o	LI LI LOSQIA	or caring c	1113 COUID	o. (00 0	OLOIL O		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	1
												/		
F.	Lengt	h of Co	urse	(X in b	ox of yo	our chol	cė)	-			· .	_/ .		
Wa	s the co	ourse ler	igth ap	propriat	e for the	<u>material</u>	covered?			Too Sh	ort _	☑ Adequ	ate [Too long
_					<u> </u>			_			— :-:	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
G.						erequis	ites listed	l on pro	file? 🕒	_ Yes	∐ No	□ N/	(A .)	41
		es, were				von thinl		··			<u></u>		.	
ш							ve the co		r) 1150	hack of	form: if	nececor	77	-
					sections		AC (IIC CO	uise, et	use use	Dack O	i ioi iii, ii	пссезан	J	
5^,	hiair v	,,, scor.	~ (1 0	<i>-,</i> 101	0000000									
1														
	DCS						EAS				OSES			
_	OASS	IS					SAE				Orso			
	ODS						SIS				OTH)	ER		
		ptional):	_				_	T 1	L Trial.				
Sei	ries					Gra	ae		Jo	b Title				

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development													
Course	Title a	nd Numb	ner:			Date:			Prima	arv Inst	ructor:		
				#031450)		RY 28.	2011			-		
FILE-AID FOR ANALYSTS – #031450 FEBRUARY 28, 2011 DAVE SILVERBERG													
A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW > 5 HIGH) Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)													
Lowes					Highest		Lowest		\rightarrow			Highest	
1.	1 .	2	3	4	5		7.	1	2	3		5	
2.	1	2	3	4	5		8.	1	2	3	4	5	
3.	1	2	3	4	5		9	1	2	3	4	5	
4.	1	2	3	4	5		10.	1	2	3	4	5	
5.	1	2	3	4	5		11.	1	2	3	4	5	
6.	1	2	3	4	5		12.	1	2	3	4	5	
	urse Co	ontent a		lan	- -								-
B. Course Content and Design Lowest → Highest													
												(35/	
			odology (1	2	3	4	(5)			
			ere used			1	2	3	4	3			
		Instruct					1.47				Et Park	h	` .
							Lowest		→			hest	
1. Instr	uctor's k	nowledg	e of subje	ect			1		2	3	4	(5)	
			estions or		r help.		1		2	3	4		
							2	3	4	5			
3. Organization and presentation. 1 2 3 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 6 6 6													
D. Course Administration													
Lowest > Highest													
												(5)	
2. Fac	ilities w	ere condu	cive to le	earning.	} *	1	2	. 3	4	(5)			
3. Apr	propriate	compute	r resourc	es were	available	. (check N/	A if appli	cable)	1	2	. 3	4	(5)
E. Ap	plication	ons				•		[owest)		Highest
			f course t						1	2	3	4	(S)
2. Wha	at new ir	sights ha	ve you a	couired a	s a resul	t of taking t	his cours	? (Use	back of	f form; i	if necessar	y)	
_,			,	•		J		•		_	•		
						_					/		
	F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)										/		
Was th	ie course	e length a	ppropriat	te for the	material	covered?			Too Sh	ort	▼ Adequ	ate	Too long
											/_		
					rerequis	sites listed	i on pro	file? 🖺	Yes	- □N	lo 🔽 N	/A	
	If yes, v	vere they	арргоргі	ate?	<u> </u>	• •	<u> </u>			<u>, </u>	11 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>. </u>	
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.													
H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary													
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D													
/													
					Dor	EAS			ı	OSI	25		
				<u>-</u>		eas Sae	_			OTS			
	ASSIS		_										
ODS OTHER NAME (optional):													
I		лаг): <u> </u>							b Title				
Series Grade Job Title													
-			_										

í

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development																	
Course Title and Number: Date:									Primary Instructor:								
FILE-AID FOR ANALYSTS #031450 FEBRU								2011	DAV	E SILV	<u>ERBER</u>	:G					
A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HiGH)																	
Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile) Lowest → Highest																	
Lowes	t	<u>→</u>		\rightarrow			High	est									
I.	1	2	3	4	<u> 5</u>		7,	1	2	3	4		5				
<u>2. </u>	1	2	3	4	<u>\5</u>		8.	1	2	3	4		5				
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)		9	_1	2	3	4		5				
4.	1	2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>		10.	1	2	3	4		5				
5	1	2	3	4	(5)		11.	1	2	3	4		5				
6.	1	2	3	4	5		12,	1	2	3	4		5				
B. Course Content and Design																	
Lowest → Highest 1. Learning objectives were organized and clear. 1 2 3 4 √5√													st				
				1	2	3	4	\ 2	:								
		of metho				1	2	3	4	(35)	-						
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning 1 2 3 4												<u>[5/</u>	,				
C. Quality of Instruction																	
Lowest → Highest 1. Instructor's knowledge of subject 1 2 3 4 (5)																	
		ess to que			help.		1		2	3	- 4	8					
				<u>-</u> 2	3	4	3		_								
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples. 1 2 3 4 5																	
D. Course Administration																	
Lowest → Highest																	
	1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt. 1 2 3 4 5/-																
		re condu		1	2		3	4 ((\$)								
3. App	ropriate	computer	r resource	es were a	vailable	. (check N/	A if applie	cable)	1	2		3	4	5			
E 4-	-lia eti e										·- ·						
	plicatio	ication of	course to	o current	duties			· [5]	owest I	2)		4 (ghest			
						C. 11 4		0.477					• (5			
						of taking t			back of	i form; 1	î necesş	ary)					
Som	e n	w cor	wasu	I de	ar(i	Nor a	illre or										
)									
F. Lei	nath of	Course	(X in bo	ox of vo	ur chol	ceì		3.5%	-	· · ·				-			
						covered?			Too Sh	ort	Ade	guate	To	oo long			
G. Di	id you d	omplete	ė neces	sary pr	erequis	ltes listed	on prot	ile? 🔽	Yes	□N	o □	N/A	17.5				
		ere they				•			• •		4,7	×1, 7					
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.																	
H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary																	
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D																	
DC	S				РОЕН	EAS				OSE	s						
	SSIS				OES				- 	OTS	_						
	ODS ORSIS									OTHER							
NAME (optional):																	
Series Grade Job Title																	