Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest → Highest						Lowest → Highest				
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	(5)

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

How to utilize Abend-Aid better
How to utilize intertest's capabilities

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☒ Adequate	☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

Enjoyed class - instructor was very knowledgible.

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _VALERIE WILLIAMS_

Series _2210_ Grade _13-7_ Job Title _I.T. Specialist_

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest → Highest					Lowest → Highest				
1. 1	2	3	4	**(5)**	7. 1	2	3	4	5
2. 1	2	3	**(4)**	5	8. 1	2	3	4	5
3. 1	2	3	**(4)**	5	9 1	2	3	4	5
4. 1	2	3	4	**(5)**	10. 1	2	3	4	5
5. 1	2	3	4	**(5)**	11. 1	2	3	4	5
6. 1	2	3	**(4)**	5	12. 1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	5
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	5
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	**(5)**
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	**(5)**
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	**(5)**
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	**(4)**	5

D. Course Administration

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	**(5)**
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	**(5)**
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	**(5)**

E. Applications

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	**(2)**	3	4	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

Have never used Intertest / Abend Aid for CICS but this course was enough to get me started with the tool.

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered? ☐ Too Short ☑ Adequate ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D *Instructor needed to put the labs on a handout (list libs, steps we were to do). David is incredibly knowledgable about programming and is an engaging teacher. Hopefully he will teach many more classes at SSA!*

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☑ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest → Highest					Lowest → Highest				
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	‹5	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	5	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	(2)	3	4	5

room too dark

E. Applications

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	(5)

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

learned some new intest feature and gained a quick reference grid

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☒ Adequate	☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

It was nice that the instructor related the features of abendaid back to cics dump reading concepts

☒ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☐ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ GS _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____ program _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest → Highest					Lowest → Highest				
1.	1	2	3	(4) 5	7.	1	2	3	4 5
2.	1	2	3	(4) 5	8.	1	2	3	4 5
3.	1	2	3	(4) 5	9	1	2	3	4 5
4.	1	2	3	4 (5)	10.	1	2	3	4 5
5.	1	2	3	4 5	11.	1	2	3	4 5
6.	1	2	3	4 5	12.	1	2	3	4 5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	(4)	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	(4)	5

D. Course Administration

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	(4)	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered? ☐ Too Short ☒ Adequate ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest → Highest						Lowest → Highest				
1.	1	2	3	(4)	5	7.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5	8.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	5
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	5
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest → Highest				
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	(4)	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☒ Adequate	☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series ___GS___ Grade ___13___ Job Title ___IT SPECIALIST___

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest	Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4 (5)	7. 1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	(4) 5	8. 1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4 (5)	9 1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	(4) 5	10. 1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4 5	11. 1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4 5	12. 1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	(4)	5
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	(3)	4	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	(4)	5
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	(4)	5

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	(4)	5
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	(4)	5

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	(4)	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

LEARNED A FEW NEW INTERTEST OPTIONS

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☒ Adequate	☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____ YES
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight   (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2.	1	2	3	(4)	5	8.	1	2	3	(4)	5
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	(4)	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	(4)	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	(5)
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	(5)

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	(4)	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	(5)

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?   ☐ Too Short   ☒ Adequate   ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes   ☐ No   ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____
Series __GS 2210__   Grade __12__   Job Title __IT Specialist__

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight   (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	5	7.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5	8.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	5	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	5	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	5	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	5	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	(4)	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	(4)	5

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	(4)	5
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	(4)	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?   ☐ Too Short   ☒ Adequate   ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes   ☐ No   ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest	Lowest	→			Highest
1. 1	2	3	4	(5)	7. 1	2	3	4	5
2. 1	2	3	4	(5)	8. 1	2	3	4	5
3. 1	2	3	4	(5)	9 1	2	3	4	5
4. 1	2	3	4	(5)	10. 1	2	3	4	5
5. 1	2	3	4	(5)	11. 1	2	3	4	5
6. 1	2	3	4	(5)	12. 1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	(3)	4	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	(4)	5

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	(4)	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

Bette _ _ understanding of _ _ the CICS InterTest Feature and CICS ABEND AID

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☐ Adequate	☒ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D *Dave did an outstanding presentation and knows his subject matter extremely well. His training style was very conducive to learning.*

☐ DCS ✓	☐ OEEAS	☒ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest	Lowest	→			Highest
1. 1	2	3	(4)	5	7. 1	2	3	4	5
2. 1	2	3	4	5	8. 1	2	3	4	5
3. 1	2	3	(4)	5	9 1	2	3	4	5
4. 1	2	3	4	5	10. 1	2	3	4	5
5. 1	2	3	(4)	5	11. 1	2	3	4	5
6. 1	2	3	(4)	5	12. 1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	(4)	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	(4)	5

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	(4)	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?	☐ Too Short	☒ Adequate	☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series ___2010___ Grade ___13___ Job Title ___IT Specialist___

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight   (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	(5)	8.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	(5)	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	(5)	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	(5)	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	5
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	5
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→		Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	5
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	5
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	5
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	5

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	5
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	5

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?   ☐ Too Short   ☐ Adequate   ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☐ Yes   ☐ No   ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____334_____ Grade __12__ Job Title __IT SPEC__

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight   (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest		→		Highest		Lowest		→		Highest
1.	1	2	3	(4)	5	7.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	(4)	5	8.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	(4)	5	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	(4)	5	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	5	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	5	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→		Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	(4)	5
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	5
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	(4)	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→		Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	(4)	5
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	(4)	5
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	(4)	5

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→		Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	(4)	5
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	(4)	5

E. Applications

	Lowest	→		Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	(2)	3	4	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)
Learned a few new intertest commands

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered?   ☐ Too Short   ☐ Adequate   ☒ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes   ☐ No   ☐ N/A
If yes, were they appropriate? _____
List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary. _____

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary
Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D
There was nothing wrong with the class or the instructor. However, we are all experienced programmers (most + grade 13 techs + TL's) and so there was no reason to force us to ...

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): Mary Julia Raw
Series _____   Grade __13__   Job Title _Systems Anal TL_

✱ We all already know how to use intertest.
We are experienced CICS programmers.

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	(4)	5	7.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	(4)	5	8.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	(4)	5	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	(4)	5	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	(4)	5	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	(4)	5	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→		Highest	
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	(4)	5
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	(4)	5

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	(4)	5
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	(4)	5

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→		Highest	
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	(4)	5
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	(4)	5
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	(4)	5

E. Applications

	Lowest	→		Highest	
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	(4)	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

got some good tips on using abend aid & intertest

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered? ☐ Too Short ☒ Adequate ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series _____ Grade _____ Job Title _____

Course Evaluation Technical Training for Professional Development

Course Title and Number: CICS: InterTest Workshop w/ABEND-AID/FX #441200	Date: 11/4-5/2009	Primary Instructor: Dave Silverberg

A. Course Objectives: (1 LOW → 5 HIGH)

Circle Degree to which specific course objectives were met or Highlight (use the numbered objectives on the course profile)

Lowest	→			Highest		Lowest	→			Highest
1.	1	2	3	4	(5)	7.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	1	2	3	4	5	8.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	1	2	3	4	5	9	1	2	3	4	5
4.	1	2	3	4	5	10.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	1	2	3	4	5	11.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	1	2	3	4	(5)	12.	1	2	3	4	5

B. Course Content and Design

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Learning objectives were organized and clear.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2 Effectiveness of methodology (lecture, readings, demo	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Sufficient exercises were used to reinforce and measure learning	1	2	3	4	(5)

C. Quality of Instruction

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Instructor's knowledge of subject	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Responsiveness to questions or need for help.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Organization and presentation.	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Presented adequate exercises/examples.	1	2	3	4	(5)

D. Course Administration

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Course announcements, employee notifications were clear and prompt.	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. Facilities were conducive to learning.	1	2	3	4	(5)
3. Appropriate computer resources were available. (check N/A if applicable)	1	2	3	4	(5)

E. Applications

	Lowest	→			Highest
1. Overall application of course to current duties.	1	2	3	4	5

2. What new insights have you acquired as a result of taking this course? (Use back of form; if necessary)

Use Intertest and have awareness of various components of Intertest Use Abend-Aid/Fx

F. Length of Course (X in box of your choice)

Was the course length appropriate for the material covered? ☐ Too Short ☒ Adequate ☐ Too long

G. Did you complete necessary prerequisites listed on profile? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

If yes, were they appropriate? _____

List any additional prerequisite(s) you think are necessary.

H. Other Comments (suggestions to improve the course, etc.) use back of form; if necessary

Explain low scores (1 or 2) for sections A-D

Very Knowledgeable instructor

☐ DCS	☐ OEEAS	☐ OSES
☐ OASSIS	☐ OESAE	☐ OTSO
☐ ODS	☒ ORSIS	☐ OTHER

NAME (optional): _____

Series ___2210___ Grade ___12___ Job Title ___IT SPECIALIST___